### POM 2000 - 2005 DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARIES

May 22, 1998



Approved for public releases

Distribution Unlimited

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

Dire Quality disperieds

19980616 162

UNCLASSIFIED

Control Number X00006198

#### Form Approved REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE OMB No. 0704-0188 Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions; searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Descriptive Summaries 5/22/98 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 5. FUNDING NUMBERS POM 2000-2005 Descriptive Summaries 6. AUTHOR(S) Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION DARPA REPORT NUMBER 3701 N. Fairfax Drive Arlington, VA 22203-1714 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER X00006198 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE Unclassified; Unlimited 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) POM 2000-2005 Descriptive Summaries for Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 14. SUBJECT TERMS 15. NUMBER OF PAGES Budget, Research and Development 16. PRICE CODE 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT OF THIS REPORT OF THIS PAGE OF ABSTRACT Unclass Unclass Unclass

### DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY POM 00-05 SUBMISSION

#### Table of Contents

SECTION I	Page
RESOURCE SUMMARIES BA/PE TOA	Н
SECTION II FORCE STRUCTURE (TAB A) Format A-8 - Programmed Structure, Programmed Manning, and End Strength	rv
SECTION III  MODERNIZATION AND INVESTMENT (TAB C)  Format C-7 - Industrial Base Program Funding	13
SECTION IV INSTALLATION CONSTRUCTION, BASE OPERATING SUPPORT, AND ENVIRONMENT (TAB E) Format E-10 - Environmental Security Technology	15
SECTION V MANPOWER (TAB F) Format F-10 - Civilian Employment Levels and Associated Payroll Costs	16
SECTION VI INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IT)/DEFENSE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE (DII) (TAB G) Format G-1 - ITR/DII Resources (Category 7 only)	17
SECTION VII DARPA PERFORMANCE CONTRACT	21



#### DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY 3701 NORTH FAIRFAX DRIVE ARLINGTON, VA 22203-1714



MAY 2 | 1998

#### MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

SUBJECT: POM 2000-05 Submission

Attached is the DARPA Program Objective Memorandum submission covering RDT&E requirements for FYs 2000-2005. This submission is focused on pursuing breakthrough technologies to satisfy warfighter needs, increase the affordability of future weapon systems, and demonstrate advanced systems concepts. The funding levels are consistent with the fiscal guidance.

DARPA has fully funded core contract support for the Software Engineering Institute (SEI) and proposes to transfer the FY 2000-05 SEI funding within PE 62301E to DDR&E.

F L. Fernandez

Director

Attachment

### SECTION

# RESOURCE SUMMARIES BA/PE TOA

			(\$ in millions)	(\$ in millions)	E CA					
PE	PROJ	ПП.Е	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
61101E	CCS-02 ES-01 MS-01	INFORMATION SCIENCES ELECTRONIC SCIENCES MATERIALS SCIENCES	16.817 37.210 14.305	18.900 28.511 17.691	20.100 22.910 22.390	19.500 30.583 19.953	19.700 30.433 21.053	19.700 36.183 21.053	20.700 37.183 22.053	21.700 38.183 23.053
	61101E	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	68.332	65.102	65.400	70.036	71.186	76.936	79.936	82.936
62110E	NGI-01	NEXT GENERATION INTERNET	40.453	40.000	40.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
62301E	ST-01 ST-11	JASONS INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS & SOFTWARE	1.291	1.200	1.200	1.200	1.200	1.200	1.200	1.200
	ST-19	HIGH PERFORMANCE AND GLOBAL SCALE SYSTEMS	157.784	193.314	176.863	183.595	191.727	198.329	200.329	50.391
	ST-22	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	16.609	17.100	17.600	18.100	18.700	19.300	19.300	19.300
	ST-24	INFORMATION SURVIVABILITY JOINT INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION	41.372	54.509 69.900	58.640	59.125 0.000	78.182	101.128	101.128	101.128
	62301E	COMPUTING SYS & COMM TECHNOLOGY	309.037	417.723	319.802	323.676	341.735	371.548	378.548	375.348
62383E	BW-01	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	60.805	88.000	92.500	98.000	101.000	105.800	106.800	107.800
62702E	TT-03	NAVAL WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	20.783	16.796	11.553	14.172	27.172	27.172	97 179	97 179
	T-04	ADVANCED LAND SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	20.817	35.000	45.750	46.686	55.686	60.886	60.886	60.886
	9 1 1	ADVANCED TARGETING TECHNOLOGY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	10.000	38.300	48.300	58.300
	90-11	ADVANCED TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	55.091	71.534	27.767	55.728	61.800	68.728	68.728	68.728
	11-07	AERONAUTICS TECHNOLOGY	20.235	34.000	41.000	59.011	55.000	55.648	55.648	55.648
	2 ; -	ADVANCED LOGISTICS TECHNOLOGY	21.214	21.665	10.633	10.000	20.000	20.000	20.000	20.000
	=	JOHN EGGISTICS ACTD	10.191	10.000	10.000	10.000	10.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	62702E	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	148.331	188.995	176.703	195.597	239.658	270.734	280.734	290.734
62708E	IC-03	INTERGRATED COMMAND & CONTROL TECH	45.695	34.000	32.000	32.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
62712E	MPT-01		122.081	145.381	156.066	196.327	190.280	170.227	175.227	185 227
	MPT-02		74.520	87.910	87.522	78.881	69.426	80.413	90.413	100.413
	MPT-06		18.404	8.203	11.546	12.000	15.000	16.000	16.000	16.000
	MPT-07	MILITARY MEDICAL/TRAUMA CARE TECHNOLOGY	16.348	2.914	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	62712E	MATERIALS & ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	231.353	244.408	255.134	287.208	274.706	266.640	281.640	301.640

DIIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSEWIDE	PE/PROJECT LEVEL SUMMARY REPORT
---	---	---------------------------------

FY 2005

FY 2004

FY 2003

FY 2002

FY 2001

FY 2000

(\$ in millions) FY 1998 FY 1999

PE PROJ TITLE

63285E		ASP-01 ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	0.000	0.000	13.000	19.000	23.000	5.000	5.986	9.986
63739E		UNCOOLED INTEGRATED SENSORS	8.669	11.000	3.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	MT-04	ELECTRONIC MODULE TECHNOLOGY	68.268	65.992	61.142	47.395	53.999	81.363	84.925	86.925
	MT-05	TACTICAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS	29.472	36.496	19.640	22.748	21.100	0.000	0.000	0.000
	90-TM	MICROWAVE & ANALOG FRONT END TECHNOLOGY	18.250	4.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	MT-07	CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE	3.852	4.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	MT-08	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY APPLICATIONS	29.162	25.200	20.253	0.000	0.000	0.000	0000	0.000
	MT-10	ADVANCED LITHOGRAPHY	51.078	26.500	28.000	24.000	27.500	24.754	24.754	24.754
	MT-12	MEMS	73.158	71.549	78.979	80.000	79.000	88.300	96.300	93.300
	MI-15	MIXED TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION	0.000	0.000	36.000	71.205	53.510	20.000	20.000	50.000
	63739E	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	281.909	244.737	247.014	245.348	235.109	244.417	255.979	254.979
63746E	MR-01	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY	36.030	15.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
63747E	EV-01	ELECTRIC VEHICLES	14.522	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
63760E	CCC-01 CCC-02	CCC-01 COMMAND & CONTROL INFORMATION SYSTEMS CCC-02 INFORMATION INTEGRATION SYSTEMS	64.125 85.885	81.200	109.446	106.034 108.544	106.734	105.034 117.549	107.034	108.034
	63760E	COMMAND, CONT'L & COMMUNICATION SYS	150.010	200.100	224.886	214.578	224.583	222.583	225.583	225.583
63761E	CST-01 CST-02 CST-03	ADVANCED SIMULATION GLOBAL GRID COMMUNICATIONS DEFENSE SIMULATION INTERNET	30.142 41.302 2.768	26.698 27.916 1.500	0.000 13.450 0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	63761E	COMMUNICATION & SIMULATION TECH	74.212	56.114	13.450	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
63762E	SGT-01	GUIDANCE TECHNOLOGY	36.668	36.872	16.766	22.731	22.633	35.764	36.764	39.764
	SGT-02	AEROSPACE SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY	19.603	70.500	82.551	72.729	73.517	93.486	80.500	87.500
	861-03	AIR DEFENSE INITIATIVE	20.906	33.050	50.210	27.180	32.460	35.000	38.000	38.200
	SG1-04	SENSORS & EXPLOITATION SYSTEMS	90.007	72.732	81.670	91.253	99.476	92.832	92.832	92.832
	63762E	SENSOR & GUIDANCE TECHNOLOGY	167.184	213.154	231.197	213.893	228.086	257.082	248.096	258.296

		DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSEWIDE PE/PROJECT LEVEL SUMMARY REPORT (\$ in millions)	VANCED RESPONENT, TES	EFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY CH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENS PE/PROJECT LEVEL SUMMARY REPORT (\$ in millions)	IECTS AGENC ATION, DEFER REPORT	Y SEWIDE				
PE	PROJ	TITLE	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
63763E	MRN-0	MRN-02 ADVANCED SHIP/SENSOR SYSTEM	19.626	24.788	36.998	43.464	48.396	58.696	60.696	63.696
63764E	LNW-0	LNW-01 RAPID STRIKE FORCE TECHNOLOGY LNW-02 SMALL UNIT OPERATIONS	42.315 38.609	52.600	38.000	30.000 59.700	50.000	22.000	22.000	22.000
	63764	63764E LAND WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	80.924	108.490	93.413	89.700	101.500	87.000	87.000	87.000
63765E	CLP-0	63765E CLP-01 CLASSIFIED DARPA PROGRAMS	129.411	55.500	49.500	36.876	37.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
63800E JA-01	JA-01	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER PROGRAM	23.019	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
63805E	GC-01	DUAL USE APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	120.395	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
65114E	BL-01	BLACKLITE	4.532	5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000
65898E	MH-01	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS	35.039	38.611	40.603	42.024	43.541	45.164	46.602	46.602
	AGEN	AGENCY TOTAL	2,040.819	2,039.722	1,936.600	1,916.400	1,974.500	2,016.600	2,062.600	2,109.600

	FY 2005	82.936 1,075.522 899.540 51.602 2,109.600
	FY 2004	79.936 1,047.722 883.340 51.602 2,062.600
	FY 2003	76.936 1,014.722 874.778 50.164 2,016.600
	FY 2002	71.186 957.099 897.674 48.541 1,974.500
r SEWIDE	FY 2001	70.036 936.481 862.859 47.024 1,916.400
ECTS AGENCY ATION, DEFEN EPORT	FY 2000	65.400 916.139 909.458 45.603
D RESEARCH PROJI ; TEST AND EVALU/ LEVEL SUMMARY R (\$ in millions)	FY 1999	65.102 1,013.126 917.883 43.611 2,039.722
DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSEWIDE PE/PROJECT LEVEL SUMMARY REPORT (\$ in millions)	FY 1998	68.332 835.674 1,097.242 39.571 2,040.819
	PROJ TITLE	BA-01 TOTAL BA-02 TOTAL BA-03 TOTAL BA-06 TOTAL
	H	

## **SECTION II**

# FORCE STRUCTURE

# Format A-8: Programmed Structure, Programmed Manning, and End Strength

## Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

#### FY 1998 (Quantities in 000's)

NATIONAL GUARD CIVILIAN	Programmed  Manpower Authorized Manpower Authorized <u>Structure</u> <u>Manning</u> <u>Structure</u> <u>Manning</u>	0 0 0 20	0 0 0 20	0 0 0 20	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
RESERVE	Programmed  Manpower Authorized <u>Structure</u> <u>Manning</u>	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
CTIVE	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
AG	Programmed  Manpower  Structure	2 DEFENSE-WIDE MISSIONS	22 General Research & Development	223 RDT&E Management & Support	TOTAL END STRENGTH

UNCLASSIFIED

# Format A-8: Programmed Structure, Programmed Manning, and End Strength

## Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

#### FY 1999 (Quantities in 000's)

	•	ACT	ACTIVE	RESERVE	RVE	NATIONA	NATIONAL GUARD	CIVILIAN	IAN
DMC		Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized Manning	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>
2 DEFENSE-WIDE MISSIONS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	207
22 General Research & Development		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	207
223 RDT&E Management & Support		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	207
TOTAL END STRENGTH	;	0	0	. <b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	207

#### UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

# Format A-8: Programmed Structure, Programmed Manning, and End Strength

## Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

#### FY 2000 (Quantities in 000's)

ACTIVE RESERVE NATIONAL GUARD CIVILIAN	Programmed Programmed Programmed Programmed Manpower Authorized Manpower Authorized Manpower Structure Manning Structure Manning Structure Structure Structure Manning Structure	0 0 0 0 0 0			
	DMC	2 DEFENSE-WIDE MISSIONS	22 General Research & Development	223 RDT&E Management & Support	TOTAL END STRENGTH

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

# Format A-8: Programmed Structure, Programmed Manning, and End Strength

## Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

#### FY 2001 (Quantities in 000's)

	ACT	ACTIVE	RESE	RESERVE	NATIONA	NATIONAL GUARD	CIVII	CIVILIAN
DMC	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>
2 DEFENSE-WIDE MISSIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	197
22 General Research & Development	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	197
223 RDT&E Management & Support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	197
TOTAL END STRENGTH	0	0	0	0	0	° 0	: 0	161

UNCLASSIFIED

# Format A-8: Programmed Structure, Programmed Manning, and End Strength

## Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

#### FY 2002 (Quantities in 000's)

ACTIVE RESERVE NATIONAL GUARD CIVILIAN	Programmed Programmed Authorized Manpower Authorized Manpower Authorized Manning Structure Manning Structure Manning Structure Manning	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0		
ACT	Programmed Manpower Manpower Structure	2 DEFENSE-WIDE MISSIONS	22 General Research & Development	223 RDT&E Management & Support 0	TOTAL END STRENGTH 0

UNCLASSIFIED

# Format A-8: Programmed Structure, Programmed Manning, and End Strength

## Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

#### FY 2003 (Quantities in 000's)

	ACTIVE	IVE	RESE	RESERVE	NATIONA	VATIONAL GUARD	CIVII	CIVILIAN
DMC	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower Structure	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>
2 DEFENSE-WIDE MISSIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	197
22 General Research & Development	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	197
223 RDT&E Management & Support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	197
TOTAL END STRENGTH	0	0	0	0	0	0 2 2	0	261

#### UNCLASSIFIED

# Format A-8: Programmed Structure, Programmed Manning, and End Strength

## Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

#### FY 2004 (Quantities in 000's)

	ACTIVE	IVE	RESERVE	RVE	NATIONA	ATIONAL GUARD	CIVILIAN	IAN
DMC	Programmed Manpower Structure	Authorized Manning	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized Manning	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>
2 DEFENSE-WIDE MISSIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	161
22 General Research & Development	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	197
223 RDT&E Management & Support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	197
TOTAL END STRENGTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	161

UNCLASSIFIED

# Format A-8: Programmed Structure, Programmed Manning, and End Strength

## Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

#### FY 2005 (Quantities in 000's)

	ACT	ACTIVE	RESERVE	RVE	NATIONAL GUARD	L GUARD	CIVILIAN	IAN
DMC	Programmed Manpower / Structure	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower Structure	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower Structure	Authorized <u>Manning</u>	Programmed Manpower <u>Structure</u>	Authorized <u>Manning</u>
2 DEFENSE-WIDE MISSIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	197
22 General Research & Development	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	197
223 RDT&E Management & Support	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	161
TOTAL END STRENGTH	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	197

#### UNCLASSIFIED

## SECTION III

# MODERNIZATION AND INVESTMENT

UNCLASSIFIED

## Format C-7: Industrial Base Program Funding

(Current \$ Millions)

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

		Percess and anice asserting a spensy	ra incorai cii	e canalar	Scilley				
	FY1997	FY1998	FY1999	FY2000	FY2001	FY2002	FY2003	FY2004	FY2005
sserving Industrial Capabilities									
Program Element								•	
R, D, T and E-Defense Agencies									
0603739E	8.09	51.1	26.5	28	24	27.5	24.8	24.8	24.8
0603746E	47.3	36	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
0603747E	14.7	14.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0603805E	123.2	120.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### UNCLASSIFIED

C-7-1

## (U,C,S) Format C-11: Defense Technology Objectives (DTOs)

## Program Funding (Then Year Dollars in Millions and Tenths)

<u>FY05</u>	3	(f) <u>Technology Area</u> Joint Readiness & Logistics	(g) DTO# 19	(h). <u>DTO Titl</u> JLACTD	(h) (i) DTO Title Program Ele JLACTD 0602702E	(i) Program Element # 0602702E	(j) <u>Program Element Title</u> Tactical Technology	(k) Project# TT-11	(1) Project Title	(m) (n) (o) FY00 FY01 FY02	(n) (	(o) (c)	(p) (FY03	(q) FY04	(r) 4 FY05
(a)	DTO Funding as of February 1998									10.0	10.0	10.0 10.0 10.0	10.0	0	0
(p)	DTO Funding in POM 00-05 submit									10.0	10.0 10.0	10.0	0	0	0
(c)	Funding Variance									0	0	0 (10)	(10)	0	0
(Đ)	Percent Variance												100%		
(e)	Reason for Variance	This variance is a res	ult of DA	RPA's dec	ision to full	y transition	This variance is a result of DARPA's decision to fully transition this ACTD one year earlier than planned.	than plann	.pa						

UNCLASSIFIED

711-1

## **SECTION IV**

## INSTALLATION CONSTRUCTION, BASE OPERATING SUPPORT, AND ENVIRONMENT

UNCLASSIFIED

## Format E-10: Environmental Security Technology

#### (Current \$ Millions)

## Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

Program Element:

Pollution Prevention								
Research								
Exploratory Development	9.736	3.244	2.524	0.345	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	9.736	3.244	2.524	0.345	0	0	0	0
Project Total	9.736	3.244	2.524	0.345	0	0	0	0
PE Total	9.736	3.244	2.524	0.345	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	9.736	3.244	2.524	0.345	0	0	0	0

0

#### UNCLASSIFIED

E-10-1

## **SECTION V**

## MANPOWER

UNCLASSIFIED

# Format F-10: Civilian Employment Levels and Associated Payroll Costs

(Current \$ Thousands, End Strength, Workyears)

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

	FY1997	FY1998	FY1999	FY2000	FY2001	FY2002	FY2003	FY2004	FY2005
Direct Hire (Civilian Workyears)									
US Citizens									
Numbers		208	207	201	197	197	197	197	107
Cost		23532	25218	25803	26706	27641	38608	20610	01300
Total Direct Hire					200	11074	78008	23010	7,010
Number	0	208	207	201	161	197	161	197	197
Cost	0	23532	25218	25803	26706	27641	28608	29610	29610
Total Civilian Workyears (excluding reimbursables)									
Number	0	208	207	201	197	197	197	107	107
Cost	0	23532	25218	25803	26706	27641	28608.	29610	29610
Total Civilian Endstrength_		215	214	208	204	204	204	204	204
Other Costs		175	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Costs	0	23707	25218	25803	26706	27641	28608	29610	29610

UNCLASSIFIED F-10-1

## **SECTION VI**

## INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IT) / DEFENSE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE (DIII)

## Format G-1: ITR/DII Resources / Functional AIS (Detail)

#### **Functional Area AIS**

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Science and Technology

Functional AIS Name: AGGREGATE

Migration Status Category: Existing AISs

AIS Number: 9999

DIST #: a1570001

National Security System Status N

IT Strategic Plan Goal #.Objective #: 2

JTA: Compliant as designed or currently operating Special Interest Item: None

COE Compliance: 5 A

System Categorization: N2

DoD Mission #: 7

				Cu	Current \$ Millions	suc			
Resource Baseline	FY1997	FY1998	FY1999	FY2000	FY2001	FY2002	FY2003	FY2004	FY2005
Development and Modernization									
R, D, T and E-Defense Agencies	7.385	7.646	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816
TOTAL	7.385	7.646	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816
Current Services									
R, D, T and E-Defense Agencies	4.1	4.119	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212
TOTAL	4.1	4.119	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212
TOTAL RESOURCES (Dollars)	11.485	11.765	12.028	12.028	12.028	12.028	12.028	12.028	12.028

UNCLASSIFIED

## Format G-1: ITR/DII Resources / Functional AIS (Detail)

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency

COMMENTS:

## DEFENSE DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY (DARPA) TAB G EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## 1. Information Technology Support to the DARPA Mission

management processes (e.g., research investment strategy decisions); (3) to provide an in-house base for various information system research prototypes, and (4) Information technology (IT) activities provide direct support to a total agency staff of over two hundred personnel engaged in making research investments in support. These functions accomplish four IT goals: (1) to provide products for externally required reporting (e.g., Defense budget input); (2) to support internal graphics. Central Data provides financial data through various access paths, including an executive information system. Central Data also provides other local new technologies considered to be critical to the nation's defense. IT support of this mission is provided for the functions of office automation and decision Desktop Tools, Central Data, and Network Communications. Desktop Tools provides applications such as word processing, spreadsheets, and presentation System; National Science Foundation and external reporting requirements; internal management requirements; and internal management controls. Network information to support administrative processes such as: the handling of DARPA funding documents prior to entering the Defense Finance and Accounting forms, and historic data. Network Communications further provides both the linking of internal systems and access to external communications such as the Communications provides productivity products such as electronic mail, centralized calendaring and management of meetings, and on-line access to policy, to provide an efficient and effective work environment. These goals are supported by a desktop automation system composed of three inter-linked areas: Internet and the Defense Data Network.

- 2. Initiatives: (1) Year 2000 initiatives are on schedule for September, 1998 implementation, without impact on the Agency IT budget. (2) New IT budgeting procedures are being implemented allowing identification of items previously imbedded in non-IT categories. (3) Other FY 1998 and FY 1999 initiatives are the rapid replacement of outmoded equipment and software, and the increased use of the World Wide Web.
- increases are due to (1) replacement of internal decision support and business process software, (2) increased costs of evolving to a cross-platform architecture, 3. Changes: This Tab G submission reflects total resource increases of 18.0% for FY 1997, 17.5% for FY 1998, and 16.6% for FY 1999 and beyond. These and (3) increased levels of user support.

#### UNCLASSIFIED G-1-2

UNCLASSIFIED

## Format G-2: DII Resources (Summary)

#### Functional Area AIS

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Functional AIS Summary: Total

					Current \$ Millions	fillions			
Resource Baseline	FY1997	FY1998	FY1999	FY2000	FY2001	FY2002	FY2003	FY2004	FY2005
Development and Modernization							:		
R, D, T and E-Defense Agencies	7.385	7.646	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816
TOTAL	7.385	7.646	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816	7.816
Current Services									
R, D, T and E-Defense Agencies	4.1	4.119	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212
TOTAL	4.1	4.119	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212	4.212
TOTAL RESOURCES (Dollars)	11.485	11.765	12.028	12.028	12.028	12.028	12.028	12.028	12.028

#### UNCLASSIFIED G-2D-1

UNCLASSIFIED

Format G-2: DII Resources (Summary)

**Functional Area AIS** 

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Functional AIS Summary: Science and Technology

FY2005 7.816 7.816 4.212 4.212 12.028 7.816 7.816 4.212 4.212 12.028 7.816 FY2003 4.212 4.212 12.028 7.816 FY2002 7.816 4.212 4.212 12.028 Current \$ Millions 7.816 7.816 FY2001 4.212 4.212 12.028 FY2000 7.816 7.816 4.212 12.028 FY1999 7.816 7.816 4.212 4.212 12.028 FY1998 7.646 4.119 4.119 11.765 FY1997 7.385 7.385 11.485 4.1 4.1 TOTAL RESOURCES (Dollars) R, D, T and E-Defense Agencies R, D, T and E-Defense Agencies Development and Modernization Resource Baseline **Current Services** TOTAL TOTAL

UNCLASSIFIED G-2E-1

## **SECTION VII**

# DARPA PERFORMANCE CONTRACT

## DARPA PERFORMANCE CONTRACT

#### Introduction

#### 1.1 Preamble

Its purpose is to articulate expectations for DARPA's performance in FY 2000. Nothing in this contract is intended to alter the basic This contract is between the Defense Management Council (DMC) and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). principal staff assistant (PSA) for DARPA, is the agent of the Deputy Secretary of Defense responsible for implementation of the mission, operations, authority, or reporting chain of DARPA. The Under Secretary of Defense, Acquisition (USD(A&T)), as the contract and for all direction associated with its implementation. The PSA is responsible for all direction stemming from DMC reviews of the agency's performance against the contract targets.

## 1.2 Product lines and customers

#### 1.2.1 Mission

research ideas offering a significant technological impact that will go well beyond the normal evolutionary developmental approaches; advances by potential adversaries. Consequently, the DARPA mission is to develop imaginative, innovative and often high risk DARPA's primary responsibility is to help maintain U.S. technological superiority and guard against unforeseen technological and, to pursue these ideas from the demonstration of technical feasibility through the development of prototype systems.

### 1.2.2 DARPA's organization

DARPA focuses on the future and reaches out beyond the traditional Federal laboratory structure to deal directly with the nation's industrial and academic communities. In this sense DARPA plays a special role in DOD's research and development investment strategy by acting in large measure as a venture capitalist, but measuring return on investment in terms of products and processes rather than in dollars.

#### 1.2.3 Critical processes

payoff technology and systems development for future military systems is the core of DARPA's mission. Unfortunately, it is exactly accepted mechanism for directly measuring the relevance of a technology that will not be mature for ten or more years in the future. these attributes that hamper establishment of performance metrics which, to be useful, must be measurable, relevant, and timely. A review of research organizations in the U.S. government, foreign governments and industry demonstrated that there is no currently Greater than 90% of DARPA's fiscal resources are issued to develop technology. Thus long-term, far-reaching, and high risk/high

However, there are three critical processes that occur annually that, if performed properly, would provide reasonable expectation of the development of valuable technologies. These are as follows:

- technologies to invest in should be clearly understood and can be assessed since ultimate program success is unlikely without a possible to know whether a particular project will be successful. However, the strategy and processes used to select which Project selection. In some ways, DARPA acts as a DoD venture capitalist for R&D. As with venture capitalists, it not competent means of project selection.
- Program management. DARPA appears currently to be an efficient organization in terms of how much internal overhead is benchmark with other organizations. Ongoing management activities, such as personnel management to ensure a quality associated with its funding of R&D. However, there are activities worth understanding both to manage DARPA and to workforce, are also included here.
- DARPA's power to effect. For example, funding constraints on the part of a potential user may temporarily preclude transition Technology transition. The ultimate success of DARPA's work is when a technology is successfully used in support of DoD of an otherwise promising technology. Some activities, however, are within DARPA's power such as ensuring that DARPA's objectives. Assessment of this important function should recognize that technology transition may not be completely within projects are consistent with overall S&T objectives or encouraging customer participation.

In the next section, the critical factors for success for each of these processes will be identified along with measures of success.

## Business area performance standards

### 7.1 Project selection process

### 2.1.1 Critical factors for success

capabilities defined by the DoD. DARPA's ability, therefore, to identify both emerging technologies and emerging needs are key to In the performance of its mission, DARPA has two distinct types of technology development. The first of these is to stay abreast of fundamentally different activities. These fiscal constraints also mandate consideration of whether or not commercial research will emerging technologies and develop those with potential DoD applications. The second is to respond to needs for technological its success. Given fiscal constraints, DARPA must also have a strategy for balancing its overall investment between these provide similar capabilities to military investment.

#### 2.1.2 Means and measures

#### Annual report review

describe and justify DARPA's broad strategy in selecting its portfolio of technology projects and to describe the long-range plans for DARPA shall, by January 31 of each year, provide an annual report to the USD (A&T). This report shall be distributed by the USD (A&T), if desired, for both a technical and operational review by subject matter experts. The overall purpose of this report is to transitioning technically successful projects to potential users. This report shall consider the following content:

- 1) Quantitative information as to:
- Percentage of dollars invested in ATD-like and ACTD-like projects;
  - ) Percentage of dollars invested in new starts/seedlings;
    - Percentage of total project turnover;
- Percentage of long-term, high risk/high reward projects;
- Percentage of technology investments versus systems development projects;
  - Relevance to the Warfighter projects that relate to a future DoD need;
- g) Direct collaborations with the service's S&T establishment (e.g. MOUs); and
- The number of projects concluded along with the percentages considered to be a technological success.

- 2) A description of the strategy and assumptions underpinning DARPA's apportionment of resources between "technology push" and "requirement pull" technology areas.
- technology areas. This shall include identification of those areas where the risk of technological surprise is considered the greatest 3) A description of the strategy and assumptions underpinning DARPA's apportionment of resources between the major and those user-defined needs considered to be most soluble by DARPA efforts.
- 4) Identification of the projects that concluded within the last three years that has transitioned successfully. This should also include a description of the military applications identified for these projects.

## .2 Program management process

### 2.2.1 Critical factors for success

The key factors for success are minimizing overhead cost, minimizing response time, and maintaining world class personnel.

#### 2.2.2 Means and measures

#### Overhead reduction

Reduce Agency management headcount by 2 percent by end of year FY 2000.

#### Problem disbursements

Reduce problem disbursements by eliminating all unmatched disbursement and negative unliquidated obligation balances greater than 180 days old by the end of FY 2000.

### Internal paperless funding process

electronic packages by the end of FY 2000. In addition, demonstrate a prototype paperless contracting system by the end of FY 2000. Introduce an internal paperless funding process by replacing 50% of paper-based follow-on incremental funding actions with For both initiatives develop metrics to assess benefits in terms of reduced overhead and shortened cycle time.

### Innovative acquisition practices

Increase DARPA involvement or participation in innovative acquisition practices (such as, Other Transactions) by 5% over the FY 1999 level. Develop metrics to assess benefits in terms of reduced overhead and shortened cycle time.

#### Personnel

Measure the percentage of technical personnel turnover and develop metrics to measure the quality of personnel hired at DARPA.

## .3 Technology transition process

### 2.3.1 Critical factors for success

participation and maintaining consistency with the DoD S&T plan are believed to be key factors in encouraging technology transition Although technology transition is not entirely within DARPA's capabilities to effect, increasing the amount of outside organization where possible.

#### 2.3.2 Means and measures

## Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs)

Measure the number of MOUs with the military departments for the development of new technologies.